
	FORM TECHNICAL BID EVALUATION	Doc. n. FORM_XS-SCTXST--SC-PRO-028-E	
		Rev. 00	Date __/__/__
		Page 1 of 2	
		Ref. Doc. STD_XS-SCTXST-SC-PRO-001-E	

PROJECT nr.: TECHNOFERTIL - SUPERCUPS TRAYS IN MOSCOW
Accounting destination/Debit Centre: HS001234

TECHNICAL UNIT: 9HV005 XSIGHT Onsh Offs E								
TECHNICAL BID EVALUATION nr.: HS0018_TBE01								
PR nr.: 11500868								
PR Subject: SuperCups Supply								
date of TBE request: 01/02/2018								
SUMMARY								
BID EXAMINED					BID EVALUATION			
POSITION	VENDOR	PROPOSAL Nr.	DATE OF SUBMISSION	DELIVERY TIME	ACCEPTABLE (yes/not)	acceptable QUALITY Documents (yes/not)	acceptable HSE (yes/not)	UNACCEPTABLE (yes/not) (1)
1	ABFC Srl	182/17 REV 2	14/01/2018	24 weeks / FCA	Y	Y	n/a	
2	BARESI SpA	20008312 REV 2	14/01/2018	28 Sep. 2018 / FCA	N	Y	n/a	Y
3	WELDINGPRO Srl	SAIP-008/18 REV2	14/01/2018	24 weeks / FCA	Y	Y	n/a	
4	Rava SpA	25-spm REV1	14/01/2018	25 weeks / FCA	Y	Y	n/a	
5								
6								
7								
List of applicable Technical documents:								
Doc. code					Document Revision		Document Date	
ZA-E-06999					0		05/01/2018	
RA-E-20001					0		15/12/2017	
SPC. 110078 Rev.0 "Quality Requirements for Supplier"								
NOTE:								
ATTACHMENT 1: Technical Correspondence								
ATTACHMENT 2: Technical Tabulation Sheet ZA-D-06002 Rev.0								
DATE		PREP. BY		CK' D BY		APPROVED		REV.
01/03/2018								00

<p>(1) Each bid evaluated unacceptable must be duly supported by detailed reason</p> <p>General Note:</p> <p>The TBE shall consider only technical aspects, any other concerns must be indicated at the beginning of the procurement process (PR /driver) or during the alignment phase</p>

	<p align="center">FORM</p> <p align="center">TECHNICAL BID EVALUATION</p>	Doc. n. FORM_XS-SCTXST--SC-PRO-028-E	
		Rev. 00	Date __/__/__
		Page 2 of 2	
		Ref. Doc. STD_XS-SCTXST-SC-PRO-001-E	

On the basis of the technical offers received from XSigjht Procurement Dept. and the technical clarification and technical offers rev. 2 received on 14/01/2018, please find the details of the technical evaluation

ABFC Srl

Full acceptance of Project technical Specification nr. ZA-E-06999: received

Deviation to technical specification: none

Full acceptance of QUALITY Specification: received

Execution Method:

Bidder replies satisfactory the technical requirements of the tender. Acceptance to bid documentation as been clearly stated . Bidder doesn't communicate amends to technical offer Rev. 2 compared to the previous Rev. 1.

The method of statement is sufficient to understand the scope of supply and the bidder shown and declare to be capable to satisfy the complex supply exigence.

All above considered the bidder can be consider technically acceptable.

BARESI SpA

Full acceptance of Project technical Specification nr. ZA-E-06999: received

Deviation to technical specification: none

Full acceptance of QUALITY Specification: received

Execution Method:

The bidder replies to technical queries and submit complete and satisfactory information and execution method in respect of capability, experience and material management.

All above considered the bidder can be consider technically acceptable.

WELDINGPRO Srl

Full acceptance of Project technical Specification nr. ZA-E-06999: received

Deviation to technical specification: none

Full acceptance of QUALITY Specification: received

Execution Method:

Bidder replies satisfactory the technical requirements of the tender. Acceptance to bid documentation has been clearly stated ..

The method of statement is fully aligned to the scope of supply and the bidder shown to be capable to satisfy the complex supply exigence.

All above considered the bidder can be consider technically acceptable.

RAVA SpA

Full acceptance of Project technical Specification nr. ZA-E-06999: received

Deviation to technical specification: none

Full acceptance of QUALITY Specification: received

Execution Method:

The bidder replies to technical queries and submit complete and satisfactory information and execution method in respect of capability, experience and material management.

All above considered the bidder can be consider technically acceptable.